

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SAMMIE LEE NUNN III,

Petitioner,

٧.

NDOC, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00579-KJD-BNW

ORDER

On April 8, 2021, the Court received, from Sammie Lee Nunn III, an individual incarcerated at Nevada's Three Lakes Valley Conservation Camp, a petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 1-1) and a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 1-2). Those documents were not filed, and this action was dismissed, and judgment entered, on April 13, 2021, because Nunn did not pay the filing fee for this action and did not file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF Nos. 3, 4). In the order dismissing this action, the Court instructed: "If Nunn wishes to pursue a federal habeas corpus action, he must initiate a new case by submitting a habeas petition and either pay the \$5 filing fee or file a fully completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, including the required financial certificate." Order entered April 13, 2021 (ECF No. 3).

On April 22, 2021, Nunn filed a "Motion to Object" (ECF No. 5); the Court treats that filing as a motion for reconsideration of the April 13 order. In his motion, Nunn argues that the Court erred in dismissing his action because he was granted *in forma pauperis* status for purposes of another case he litigated in this Court, Case No. 2:19-cv-01543-RFB-BNW, which is now closed. Nunn's argument is without merit. The Court takes judicial notice of the proceedings in Case No. 2:19-cv-01543-RFB-BNW and recognizes that Nunn was granted *in forma pauperis* status in that case on

July 13, 2020. See ECF No. 5 in Case No. 2:19-cv-01543-RFB-BNW. That, however, does not necessarily qualify Nunn for *in forma pauperis* status in this case. See Local Rule LSR 1-2 (requiring that an incarcerated person applying for *in forma pauperis* status simultaneously submit a certificate from the institution certifying the amount of funds currently held in the applicant's trust account at the institution and the net deposits in the applicant's account over the previous six months). Nunn's motion for reconsideration will be denied.

Again: If Nunn wishes to pursue a federal habeas corpus action, he must initiate a new case by submitting a habeas petition and either pay the \$5 filing fee or file a fully completed application to proceed in forma pauperis, including the required financial certificate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion to Object (ECF No. 5) is treated as a motion for reconsideration of the Court's April 13, 2021, order (ECF No. 3), and is **DENIED**.

DATED THIS ²³ day of April , 2021.

KENT J. DAWSON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE